

An Asymptotic Analysis of a General Class of Signal Detection Algorithms

R. J. McEliece and E. R. Rodemich
Communications Systems Research Section

For applications to the problem of radio frequency interference identification, or in the search for extraterrestrial intelligence, it is important to have a basic understanding of signal detection algorithms. In this article we give a general technique for assessing the asymptotic sensitivity of a broad class of signal detection algorithms. In these algorithms, the decision is based on the value of $X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$, where the X_i 's are obtained by sampling and preliminary processing of a physical process.

I. Introduction

Consider the following class of signal-detection rules. A physical process, which is either pure noise or contains a weak signal, is under observation. By sampling and preliminary calculation, n real numbers X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are obtained from the process. We suppose that the X 's can be modeled as independent, identically distributed random variables, and that their common distribution depends on a nonnegative real parameter ϵ , which we may think of as the "signal-to-noise ratio" of the process. If $\epsilon = 0$, no signal is present, but if one is, ϵ is positive.

The decision as to whether a signal is present or not is made by comparing $S_n/n = (X_1 + \cdots + X_n)/n$ to a threshold t_n :

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} S_n/n \leq t_n: \text{ report signal absent} \\ S_n/n > t_n: \text{ report signal present} \end{array} \right\} \quad (1)$$

Such a threshold test can fail in two distinct ways: it can report a signal present when it is not, or it can report no signal present when one actually is. These error events are commonly

called *false alarm* and *miss*, and their respective probabilities are denoted by P_F and P_M . In different applications these two kinds of errors might not be equally severe, so let us choose arbitrary but fixed numbers α and β between 0 and 1 and try to design a detection system for which $P_F \leq \alpha$, $P_M \leq \beta$. For a given value of n , it will in general be possible to design such a system only if the signal-to-noise ratio ϵ is sufficiently large. In Section II we will prove a theorem giving an asymptotic expression for ϵ_n , the smallest such ϵ , as a function of n . This expression is typically of the form

$$\epsilon_n \sim \frac{K}{\sqrt{n}} \left[Q^{-1}(\alpha) + Q^{-1}(\beta) \right] \quad (2)$$

where Q^{-1} denotes the inverse to the complementary Gaussian distribution function

$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2/2} dt \quad (3)$$

and K is a constant which depends on the common distribution of the X 's.

In Section III we apply our general theorem to several special cases, in which the random variables X are derived from the real and imaginary components of a complex Gaussian signal. By computing the constants K in the asymptotic expansions (cf. Eq. (2)), we are able to compare their sensitivities. For example, we will show that binary quantization of the data prior to the computation of S_n/n costs about 0.94 dB in signal sensitivity.

II. Main Theorem

The following theorem will yield the asymptotic expansion of Eq. (2) above. In it the distribution function $F_\epsilon(x)$ is the common distribution of the random variables X when the signal-to-noise ratio is ϵ . Thus, in particular, $F_0(x)$ is the distribution when no signal is present.

THEOREM. For $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$, let $F_\epsilon(x)$ be a distribution function with mean $\mu(\epsilon)$, variance $\sigma^2(\epsilon)$, and third moment $\tau(\epsilon) = \int |x - \mu(\epsilon)|^3 dF_\epsilon(x)$, such that

$$\mu(\epsilon) \text{ is continuous, and } \mu(\epsilon) > \mu(0) \text{ for } \epsilon > 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\sigma(\epsilon) \text{ is continuous and } \sigma(0) > 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\tau(\epsilon) \text{ is bounded for } 0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0: \tau(\epsilon) \leq T \quad (6)$$

For a given value of ϵ , and $n \geq 1$, let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables with the distribution function $F_\epsilon(x)$, and put $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Define

$$p_+(a, \epsilon) = P\{S_n > na\}$$

$$p_-(a, \epsilon) = P\{S_n \leq na\}$$

Let α, β be positive with $\alpha + \beta < 1$. For $n \geq 1$, take

$$a_n = \inf\{a: p_+(a, 0) \leq \alpha\}$$

Since $p_+(a, 0)$ is a monotonic function of a , it follows that

$$p_+(a_n, 0) = \alpha$$

If n is sufficiently large, there are values of ϵ on $(0, \epsilon_0)$ for which $p_-(a_n, \epsilon) \leq \beta$. If we define

$$\epsilon_n = \inf\{\epsilon: p_-(a_n, \epsilon) \leq \beta\} \quad (7)$$

then

$$\mu(\epsilon_n) - \mu(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma(0) \left[Q^{-1}(\alpha) + Q^{-1}(\beta) \right] + o(n^{-1/2}) \quad (8)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $Q(x)$ is given by Eq. (3), above. In particular, if $\mu'(0)$ exists and is positive,

$$\epsilon_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\sigma(0)}{\mu'(0)} \left[Q^{-1}(\alpha) + Q^{-1}(\beta) \right] + o(n^{-1/2}) \quad (9)$$

PROOF. We apply the Berry-Esseen refinements of the central limit theorem. By Theorem 1 on p. 542 of Ref. 1, Vol. 2,

$$\left| p_+(a, \epsilon) - Q \left[\sqrt{n} \frac{a - \mu(\epsilon)}{\sigma(\epsilon)} \right] \right| \leq \frac{3T}{\sigma(\epsilon)^3 \sqrt{n}}$$

By the continuity of $\sigma(\epsilon)$ at 0, $\sigma(\epsilon)$ is bounded away from 0 in some interval $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon'_0$. Suppose hereafter that $\epsilon \leq \epsilon'_0$. Then $3T/\sigma(\epsilon)^3 < B$, some positive constant, and

$$\left| p_+(a, \epsilon) - Q \left[\sqrt{n} \frac{a - \mu(\epsilon)}{\sigma(\epsilon)} \right] \right| \leq \frac{B}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad 0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon'_0 \quad (10)$$

In terms of the distribution function $P(x) = 1 - Q(x)$, this becomes

$$\left| p_-(a, \epsilon) - P \left[\sqrt{n} \frac{a - \mu(\epsilon)}{\sigma(\epsilon)} \right] \right| \leq \frac{B}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad 0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon'_0 \quad (11)$$

It follows from Eq. (10) and the fact that $p_+(a_n, 0) = \alpha$, that

$$Q \left[\sqrt{n} \frac{a_n - \mu(0)}{\sigma(0)} \right] = \alpha + \frac{\theta_1 B}{\sqrt{n}}$$

where $|\theta_1| \leq 1$. Let C be any constant such that $C > |Q^{-1}(\alpha)|$. Then by the mean value theorem, for n sufficiently large,

$$\left| Q^{-1} \left(\alpha + \frac{\theta_1 B}{\sqrt{n}} \right) - Q^{-1}(\alpha) \right| \leq \frac{BC}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Hence

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{a_n - \mu(0)}{\sigma(0)} = Q^{-1} \left(\alpha + \frac{\theta_1 B}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = Q^{-1}(\alpha) + o \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

and so

$$a_n = \mu(0) + \frac{\sigma(0) Q^{-1}(\alpha)}{\sqrt{n}} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad (12)$$

The argument of the function P in Eq. (11) for $a = a_n$ is thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma(\epsilon)} \left[\mu(0) - \mu(\epsilon) + \frac{\sigma(0) Q^{-1}(\alpha)}{\sqrt{n}} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{\sigma(0)}{\sigma(\epsilon)} \left[Q^{-1}(\alpha) - \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu(\epsilon) - \mu(0))}{\sigma(0)} + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis (4), $\mu(\epsilon) - \mu(0) > 0$. Hence for fixed small $\epsilon > 0$ on $(0, \epsilon'_0)$, the above expression approaches $-\infty$ as n increases. Thus the function P in Eq. (11) approaches 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and so $p_-(a_n, \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$. This shows that for n sufficiently large, ϵ_n exists, and furthermore that $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the definition (7) of ϵ_n , in every neighborhood of ϵ_n there are values of ϵ for which $p_-(a_n, \epsilon) \leq \beta$, and other values with $p_-(a_n, \epsilon) > \beta$. Thus there exists a sequence $\epsilon_{n,m}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{n,m} &= \epsilon_n \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_-(a_n, \epsilon_{n,m}) &= \beta \end{aligned}$$

From Eq. (11),

$$\left| p_-(a_n, \epsilon_{n,m}) - P \left[\sqrt{n} \frac{a_n - \mu(\epsilon_{n,m})}{\sigma(\epsilon_{n,m})} \right] \right| \leq \frac{B}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Taking limits as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and using hypotheses (5) and (6) on the continuity of μ and σ , we conclude

$$P \left[\sqrt{n} \frac{a_n - \mu(\epsilon_n)}{\sigma(\epsilon_n)} \right] = \beta + \frac{\theta_2 B}{\sqrt{n}}$$

where $|\theta_2| \leq 1$. Arguing as above,

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{a_n - \mu(\epsilon_n)}{\sigma(\epsilon_n)} = P^{-1}(\beta) + o(n^{-1/2})$$

and using the relationship $P^{-1}(x) = -Q^{-1}(x)$, we conclude

$$a_n = \mu(\epsilon_n) - \frac{\sigma(\epsilon_n)}{\sqrt{n}} Q^{-1}(\beta) + o(n^{-1}) \quad (13)$$

Combining Eqs. (12) and (13), we have

$$\mu(\epsilon_n) - \mu(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} [\sigma(0) Q^{-1}(\alpha) + \sigma(\epsilon_n) Q^{-1}(\beta)] + o(n^{-1})$$

Since by Eq. (5) σ is continuous at $\epsilon = 0$, and $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, then $\sigma(\epsilon_n) = \sigma(0) + o(1)$, and Eq. (8) follows. Finally if $\mu'(0)$ exists, then $\mu(\epsilon_n) - \mu(0) = \epsilon_n \mu'(0) + o(\epsilon_n)$, and Eq. (9) follows. QED.

In Section III we will apply formula (9) to compare competing signal-detection algorithms. Since the term $[Q^{-1}(\alpha) + Q^{-1}(\beta)]$ does not depend on the distribution $F(x)$, it will only be necessary to compute the values of $\sigma(0)/\mu'(0)$. But it is instructive to see how the term $Q^{-1}(\alpha) + Q^{-1}(\beta)$ behaves as a function of α and β , so here is a short table of $Q^{-1}(\alpha)$:

| α | $Q^{-1}(\alpha)$ |
|------------|------------------|
| 0.1 | 1.28 |
| 0.01 | 2.33 |
| 10^{-3} | 3.09 |
| 10^{-4} | 3.72 |
| 10^{-5} | 4.26 |
| 10^{-6} | 4.75 |
| 10^{-8} | 5.61 |
| 10^{-10} | 6.36 |

From this table we can compute the price paid in sensitivity for stringent error requirements. For example, if we can tolerate $\alpha = 0.01$, $\beta = 0.001$, our test will be about 1-dB more sensitive than if $\alpha = 0.001$, $\beta = 0.0001$.

III. Examples

In each of the following examples the random variables X_i will be derived from samples of a complex Gaussian random process. We denote the real (in phase) components of these samples by I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n , and the imaginary (quadrature) components by Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n . The I 's and Q 's are assumed to be independent, identically distributed normal random variables with mean zero and variance $1 + \epsilon$. This situation would occur if the observed process was the sum of a variance 1 normal process (noise with average power 1) and a variance ϵ normal process (a stochastic signal with average power ϵ).

In fact, all of our examples will be of detection algorithms based on the sample powers¹ $Z_i = I_i^2 + Q_i^2$. These random variables are distributed exponentially, and in fact for $\epsilon = 0$ we have

$$P\{Z \leq x\} = \int_0^{\sqrt{x}} re^{-r^2/2} dr = 1 - e^{-x/2} \quad (14)$$

A. Case 1

Test based on the random variables $X_i = Z_i^a$, where $a > 0$. Here an easy manipulation of Eq. (14) yields, for any $a > 0$, and $\epsilon = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(X^b) &= 2^{ab} \int_0^\infty y^{ab} e^{-y} dy \\ &= 2^{ab} \Gamma(ab + 1) \end{aligned}$$

where Γ denotes the gamma function. Thus $\mu(\epsilon) = E((1 + \epsilon)^a X) = 2^a(1 + \epsilon)^a \Gamma(a + 1)$, and so $\mu(0) = 2^a \Gamma(a + 1)$, $\mu'(0) = a 2^a \Gamma(a + 1)$. To compute $\sigma^2(0)$, we use the formula $\sigma^2(0) = E(X^2) - (E(X))^2 = 2^{2a} \Gamma(2a + 1) - (2^a \Gamma(a + 1))^2$. Thus in the asymptotic expansion of Eq. (9) we have

$$K(a) = \frac{\sigma(0)}{\mu'(0)} = \frac{1}{a} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(2a + 1)}{\Gamma(a + 1)^2} - 1 \right\}^{1/2}$$

A short table of $K(a)$ follows:

| a | $K(a)$ | |
|-------|-------------|--------------------------|
| | Numerically | Exactly |
| 0^+ | 1.283 | $\pi/\sqrt{6}$ |
| $1/2$ | 1.045 | $2((4 - \pi)/\pi)^{1/2}$ |
| 1 | 1.000 | |
| 2 | 1.118 | $\sqrt{5}/2$ |
| 3 | 1.453 | $\sqrt{19}/3$ |

From this we see that the best test of this kind is for $a = 1$ (a fact which follows from the Neyman-Pearson theorem); if the test is based on the amplitude $X = \sqrt{I^2 + Q^2}$, the loss is $10 \log_{10}(1.045) = 0.19$ dB. Notice also that in the limit as $a \rightarrow 0$,

$X = Z^a = e^{a \log Z} = 1 + a \log Z + O(a^2)$, and so the performance must be the same as if we took $X = \log Z$. We conclude that the loss in this case is $10 \log_{10}(\pi/\sqrt{6}) = 1.08$ dB.

B. Case 2 (Binary Quantization)

Here the test is based on the random variables

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Z_i \leq T \\ 1 & \text{if } Z_i > T \end{cases}$$

where the threshold T is a fixed positive real number. According to Eq. (14), the distribution of the X 's is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X = 1\} &= P\{Z \geq T\} \\ &= \int_{\sqrt{T}}^\infty re^{-r^2/2} dr = e^{-T/2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if the signal to noise ratio is ϵ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\epsilon) &= P\{(1 + \epsilon)Z > T\} \\ &= \exp\left[-\frac{T}{2(1 + \epsilon)}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mu(0) = e^{-T/2}$, $\mu'(0) = 1/2 T e^{-T/2}$. Since X is a Bernoulli random variable, $\sigma(0) = (\mu(0)(1 - \mu(0)))^{1/2} = (e^{-T/2}(1 - e^{-T/2}))^{1/2}$. Hence in Eq. (9) the value of $\sigma(0)/\mu'(0)$ is

$$K = \frac{(e^x - 1)^{1/2}}{x}, \quad x = T/2 \quad (15)$$

Of course one wishes to choose x so that K will be minimized. Numerically one calculates that the minimum of Eq. (15) occurs for $T = 3.18725$ and is $K_{min} = 1.24263$. Thus the loss in sensitivity due to binary quantization is $10 \log_{10}(1.24263) = 0.94$ dB.

C. Case 3

In this case we let b be a fixed positive integer, and assume that n is a large multiple of b , say $n = n_0 b$. The test is based on the random variables

$$X_i = \max(Z_{(i-1)b+1}, Z_{(i-1)b+2}, \dots, Z_{ib}) \quad (16)$$

¹ There is no loss in considering the powers instead of the components separately, by the Neyman-Pearson criterion Ref. 2, Sec. 13.2.

i.e., X_i is the maximum of the i -th block of length b . Since by Eq. (14), the distribution function of Z for $\epsilon = 0$ is $F_1(x) = 1 - e^{-x/2}$, it follows (see Ref. 3, Sec. 13) that the distribution of each X_i is given by

$$F_b(x) = (F_1(x))^b = (1 - e^{-x/2})^b$$

Hence the density for the X 's is

$$\begin{aligned} p_b(x) &= \frac{d}{dx} F_b(x) \\ &= \frac{b}{2} e^{-x/2} (1 - e^{-x/2})^{b-1}, \quad x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The r -th moment of X is then given by

$$\mu_r(b) = \frac{b}{2} \int_0^\infty x^r e^{-x/2} (1 - e^{-x/2})^{b-1} dx \quad (17)$$

After expanding the term $(1 - e^{-x/2})^{b-1}$ by the binomial theorem, integrating term-by-term, and summing, one obtains finally

$$\mu_r(b) = r! 2^r \sum_{k=1}^b (-1)^{k+1} \binom{b}{k} k^{-r} \quad (18)$$

The sum in Eq. (18) was considered in Ref. 4; in particular it was shown there that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(b) &= 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{b} \right) \\ \mu_2(b) &= 4 \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{b} \right)^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{b^2} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $\epsilon = 0$, the mean of X is

$$\mu = 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{b} \right)$$

and the variance is

$$\sigma^2 = 4 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{b^2} \right)$$

For general ϵ , $\mu(\epsilon) = E[\max(1 + \epsilon) Z_1, \dots, (1 + \epsilon) Z_n] = (1 + \epsilon)\mu(0)$; and so $\mu'(0) = \mu(0)$. Thus in Eq. (9) the term $\sigma(0)/\mu'(0)$ is

$$\frac{\sigma(0)}{\mu'(0)} = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{b^2} \right)^{1/2}}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{b} \right)}$$

However in Eq. (9) the number n should be replaced by $n_0 = n/b$, and so the formula for ϵ_n becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_n &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\sqrt{b} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{b^2} \right)^{1/2}}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{b} \right)} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot (Q^{-1}(\alpha) + Q^{-1}(\beta)) \end{aligned}$$

and so the sensitivity is measured by the quantity

$$K_b = \sqrt{b} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{b^2} \right)^{1/2}}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{b} \right)}$$

A table of this quantity follows:

| b | K_b | Loss in dB |
|------|-------|------------|
| 1 | 1.000 | 0 |
| 2 | 1.054 | 0.23 |
| 3 | 1.102 | 0.42 |
| 4 | 1.145 | 0.59 |
| 5 | 1.185 | 0.74 |
| 6 | 1.221 | 0.87 |
| 7 | 1.255 | 0.99 |
| 8 | 1.286 | 1.09 |
| 16 | 1.489 | 1.73 |
| 32 | 1.771 | 2.48 |
| 64 | 2.153 | 3.33 |
| 128 | 2.664 | 4.26 |
| 256 | 3.348 | 5.25 |
| 512 | 4.256 | 6.29 |
| 1024 | 5.464 | 7.38 |

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank Dr. Barry K. Levitt for his helpful criticism of this manuscript, especially in the proof of the main theorem.

References

1. Feller, William, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, 2 vols. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1971.
2. Wilks, Samuel, *Mathematical Statistics*. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1962.
3. Lamperti, John, *Probability*. New York: W. A. Benjamin, 1966.
4. McEliece, Robert, "A Combinatorial Identity in Order Statistics", *Jet Propulsion Laboratory Space Program Summaries* Vol. 37-39, (1966), pp. 230-231.